



Some Basic Properties of Completely Prime Ideals in Near Rings

Pairote Yiarayong

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology,
Pibulsongkram Rajabhat University, Phitsanuloke 65000, Thailand
Email: pairote0027@hotmail.com

Abstract. In this investigation we studied completely prime, weakly completely prime, quasi completely prime and weakly quasi completely prime ideals in near-rings. Some characterizations of completely prime and weakly completely prime ideals were obtained. Moreover, we investigated relationships between completely prime and weakly completely prime ideals in near-rings. Finally, we obtained necessary and sufficient conditions for a weakly completely prime ideal to be a completely prime ideal.

Keywords: *completely prime ideal; near-ring; quasi completely prime; weakly completely prime ideal; weakly quasi completely prime.*

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, by a near-ring N we mean a zero-symmetric near-ring with identity 1. For basic definitions in near-rings one may refer to [1]. In 1970 W.L.M. Holcombe introduced the notion of $(0, 1, 2)$ -prime ideals of a near-ring [2]. In 1977 G. Pilz introduced the notion of prime ideals of a near-ring [1]. In 1988 N.J. Groenewald introduced the notion of completely (semi) prime ideals of a near-ring [3]. In 1991 N.J. Groenewald introduced the notion of 3-(semi) prime ideals of a near-ring [4]. In [5] D.D. Anderson and E. Smith defined weakly prime ideals in commutative rings; an ideal P of a ring R is weakly prime if $0 \neq ab \in P$ implies $a \in P$ or $b \in P$.

In 2012 H.H. Abbass and S.M. Ibrahem introduced the concept of a completely semi prime ideal with respect to an element of a near-ring and the completely prime ideals in near-rings with respect to an element of a near-ring [6].

In this investigation we studied completely prime, weakly completely prime, quasi completely prime and weakly quasi completely prime ideals in near-rings. Some characterizations of completely prime and weakly completely prime ideals were obtained. Moreover, we investigated relationships between completely prime and weakly completely prime ideals in near-rings. Finally, we

obtained necessary and sufficient conditions for a weakly completely prime ideal to be a completely prime ideal.

2 Basic Results

In this section we refer to [1,4,6,7] for some elementary aspects and quote a number of theorems and lemmas that are essential to step up this study. For more details we refer to the papers in the references.

Definition 2.1 [6] A near-ring is a triple $(N, +, \cdot)$ of a nonempty set N together with two binary operations "+" and " \cdot " (called addition and multiplication respectively) defined on N such that the following holds:

- (i) $(N, +)$ is a group.
- (ii) (N, \cdot) is a semigroup.
- (iii) $(b + c)a = ba + ca$, for all $a, b, c \in N$.

Definition 2.2 [1] An ideal P of a near-ring N is called a completely prime ideal if for $a, b \in N$ such that $ab \in P$ implies that $a \in P$ or $b \in P$.

Definition 2.3 An ideal P of a near-ring N is called a weakly completely prime ideal if for $a, b \in N$ such that $0 \neq ab \in P$ implies that $a \in P$ or $b \in P$.

Clearly, every completely prime ideal is weakly completely prime and $\{0\}$ is always a weakly completely prime ideal of N . The following example shows that a weakly completely prime ideal need not be a completely prime ideal in general.

Example 2.4 [4] Let $N = \{0, a, b, c, d, 1, 2, 3\}$. Define addition and multiplication in N as follows:

+	0	1	2	3	a	b	c	d
0	0	1	2	3	a	b	c	d
1	1	2	3	0	d	c	a	b
2	2	3	0	1	b	a	d	c
3	3	0	1	2	c	d	b	a
a	a	d	b	c	2	0	1	3
b	b	c	a	d	0	2	3	1
c	c	a	d	b	1	3	0	2
d	d	b	c	a	3	1	2	0

·	0	1	2	3	a	b	c	d
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	2	3	a	b	c	d
2	0	2	0	2	2	2	0	0
3	0	3	2	1	b	a	c	d
a	0	a	2	b	a	b	c	d
b	0	b	2	a	b	a	c	d
c	0	c	0	c	0	0	0	0
d	0	d	0	d	2	2	0	0

Then $(N, +, \cdot)$ is a near-ring but $(N, +, \cdot)$ is not a ring. Here $\{0, c\}$ is a weakly completely prime ideal but not completely prime, since $2 \cdot 2 = 0 \in \{0, c\}$.

Lemma 2.5 [7] Let A be an ideal of $(N, +, \cdot)$. Then N/A is a near-ring under the operations: For all $a, b \in N$

$$(a + A) + (b + A) = (a + b) + A \text{ and } (a + A)(b + A) = (ab) + A.$$

Lemma 2.6 [7] Let A and B be ideals of $(N, +, \cdot)$. Then

$$(A + B) / A \approx B / (A \cap B).$$

Furthermore, if $A \subseteq B$, then $(N/A) / (B/A) \approx N/B$.

3 Main Results

We start with the following theorem that gives a relation between completely prime and weakly completely prime ideals in a near-ring. Our starting point is the following lemma:

Lemma 3.1 Let N be a near-ring and let A be a left ideal of N . Then $(A : B)$ is a left ideal in N , where $(A : B) = \{n \in N : nB \subseteq A\}$.

Proof. Let N be a near-ring and let A be a left ideal of N . Suppose that $n \in N$ and $m, n \in (A : B)$. Then $mB \subseteq A$ and $nB \subseteq A$ so that

$$(n - m)B = nB - mB \subseteq A.$$

Therefore $n - m \in (A : B)$. For $a \in (A : B)$ and $n \in N$,

$$\begin{aligned} (n + a - n)B &= nB + aB - nB \\ &\subseteq nB + A - nB \\ &\subseteq A \end{aligned}$$

since A is a left ideal of N . Therefore, $n+a-n \in (A:B)$. Thus $(A:B)$ is a normal subgroup of N . Let $m, n \in N, a \in (A:B)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (m(n-a)-mn)B &= (m(n-a))B - (mn)B \\ &= m((n-a)B) - (mn)B \\ &= m(nB - aB) - (mn)B \\ &= m(nB - aB) - (mn)B \\ &\subseteq A \end{aligned}$$

Thus $m(n-a)-mn \in (A:B)$. Hence $(A:B)$ is a left ideal in N . \square

Definition 3.2 [1] A left ideal P of a near-ring N is called a quasi completely prime ideal if for $a, b \in N$ such that $a, b \in P$ implies that $a \in P$ or $b \in P$.

Definition 3.3 A left ideal P of a near-ring N is called a weakly quasi completely prime ideal if for $a, b \in N$ such that $0 \neq ab \in P$ implies that $a \in P$ or $b \in P$.

Theorem 3.4 Let N be a near-ring, and let A be an ideal of N . If A is a weakly quasi completely prime (quasi completely prime) ideal of N , then $(A:B)$ is a weakly quasi completely prime (quasi completely prime) ideal in N , where $B \not\subseteq A$.

Proof. Let N be a near-ring, and let A be a weakly quasi completely prime ideal of N . Suppose that $0 \neq mn \in (A:B)$ and $m \notin (A:B)$. Then

$$0 \neq m(nB) = (mn)B \subseteq A.$$

By the definition of weakly quasi completely prime ideal we get $m \in A$ or $nB \subseteq A$ so that $n \in (A:B)$. Hence $(A:B)$ is a weakly quasi completely prime ideal in N . \square

Corollary 3.5 Let N be a near-ring and let A be a weakly quasi completely prime (quasi completely prime) ideal of N . Then $(A:m)$ is a weakly quasi completely prime (quasi completely prime) ideal in N , where $m \in N - A$.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 3.4. \square

Theorem 3.6 Let N be a near-ring and let P be an ideal of N . If P is a weakly completely prime ideal that is not completely prime, then $P^2 = 0$.

Proof. Let N be a near-ring. Suppose that $P^2 \neq 0$ we show that P is a completely prime ideal in N . Let $ab \in P$, where $a, b \in N$. If $ab \neq 0$, then either

$$a \in P \text{ or } b \in P$$

since P is a weakly completely prime ideal. So suppose that $ab = 0$. If $Pb \neq 0$, then there is an element p' of P such that $p'b \neq 0$, so that

$$0 \neq p'b = p'b + 0 = p'b + ab = (p' + a)b \in P,$$

and hence P as a weakly completely prime ideal gives either $p' + a \in P$ or $b \in P$. As $p' + a \in P$ and $p' \in P$ we have either $a \in P$ or $b \in P$. So we can assume that $Pb = 0$. Similarly, we can assume that $Pa = 0$. Since $P^2 \neq 0$, there exist $c, d \in P$ such that $cd \neq 0$. Then

$$0 \neq (a + c)(b + d) \in P,$$

so either $a + c \in P$, or $b + d \in P$, and hence either $a \in P$ or $b \in P$. Thus P is a completely prime ideal. Clearly, $0 \subseteq P^2$. Hence, $P^2 = 0$, as required. \square

Corollary 3.7 Let N be a near-ring and let P an ideal of N . If $P^2 \neq 0$, then P is completely prime if and only if P is weakly completely prime.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 3.6. \square

Lemma 3.8 Let N be a near-ring, and let P be a proper ideal of N . If P is a weakly completely prime ideal of N , then

$$(P : Na) = P \cup (0 : Na),$$

where $a \in N - P$.

Proof. Let N be a near-ring, and let P be a weakly completely prime ideal of N . Clearly,

$$P \cup (0 : Na) \subseteq (P : Na).$$

For the other inclusion, suppose that $m \in (P : Na)$, so that

$$m(Na) \subseteq P.$$

If $0 \neq m(Na)$ and P is a weakly completely prime ideal of N then $Na \subseteq P$. If $0 = m(Na)$, then $m \in (0 : Na)$. So we have the equality. \square

Corollary 3.9 Let N be a near-ring and let P be a proper ideal of N . If P is a weakly completely prime ideal of N , then $(P : a) = P \cup (0 : a)$, where $a \in N - P$.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 3.8. \square

Corollary 3.10 Let N be a near-ring with identity, P be a proper ideal of N and $a \in N - P$. If $(P : Na) = P \cup (0 : Na)$ and $(P : Na) = P$, then $(P : Na) = (0 : Na)$.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 3.8. \square

Theorem 3.11 Let N be a near-ring with identity and let P be a proper ideal of N . If $(P : n) = P$ or $(P : n) = (0 : n)$, then P is a weakly completely prime ideal of N , where $n \in N - P$.

Proof. Let N be a near-ring with identity and let P be a proper ideal of N . Suppose that Let $0 \neq mn \in P$, where $m \in N - P$. Then

$$m \in (P : n) = P \cup (0 : n)$$

by Corollary 3.10, hence $m \in P$ since $mn \neq 0$, as required. \square

Theorem 3.12 Let $N = N_1 \times N_2$, where each N_i is a near-ring with identity. If P is a weakly completely prime (completely prime) ideal of N_1 , then $P \times N_2$ is a weakly completely prime (completely prime) ideal of N .

Proof. Suppose that $N = N_1 \times N_2$, where each N_i is a near-ring with identity and P is a weakly completely prime ideal of N_1 . Let

$$0 \neq (a,b)(c,d) = (ac, bd) \in P \times N_2,$$

where $(a,b), (c,d) \in N$ so either $a \in P$ or $c \in P$ since P is weakly completely prime. It follows that either

$$(a,b) \in P \times N_2 \text{ or } (c,d) \in P \times N_2$$

By the definition of weakly completely prime ideal, we have $P \times N_2$ is a weakly completely prime ideal of N . \square

Corollary 3.13 Let $N = N_1 \times N_2$, where each N_i is a near-ring with identity. If P is a weakly completely prime (completely prime) ideal of N_2 , then $N_1 \times P$ is a weakly completely prime (completely prime) ideal of N .

Proof. This follows from Lemma 3.12. \square

Corollary 3.14 Let $N = \prod_{i=1}^n N_i$, where each N_i is a near-ring with identity. If P is a weakly completely prime (completely prime) ideal of N_j , then $N_1 \times N_2 \times \dots \times P_j \times N_{j+1} \times \dots \times N_n$ is a weakly completely prime (completely prime) ideal of N .

Proof. This follows from Theorem 3.12 and Corollary 3.13. \square

Theorem 3.15 Let $N = N_1 \times N_2$, where each N_i is a near-ring with identity. If P is a weakly completely prime ideal of N , then either $P = 0$ or P is completely prime.

Proof. Let $N = N_1 \times N_2$, where each N_i is a near-ring with identity and let $P = P_1 \times N_2$ be a weakly completely prime ideal of N . We can assume that $P \neq 0$. So there is an element (a, b) of P with $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$. Then

$$(0, 0) \neq (a, 1)(1, b) \in P,$$

gives either

$$(a, 1) \in P \text{ or } (1, b) \in P = P_1 \times N_2.$$

If $(a, 1) \in P$, then $P = P_1 \times N_2$. We will show that P_1 is completely prime hence P is weakly completely prime by Theorem 3.12. Let $cd \in P_1$, where $c, d \in N_1$. Then

$$(0, 0) \neq (c, 1)(d, 1) = (cd, 1) \in P,$$

so either $(c, 1) \in P$ or $(d, 1) \in P$ and hence either $c \in P_1$ or $d \in P_1$. By a similar argument, $N_1 \times P_2$ is completely prime. \square

Proposition 3.16 Let $A \subseteq P$ be proper ideals of a near-ring N . Then the following holds:

- (i) If P is weakly completely prime (completely prime), then P/A is weakly completely prime (completely prime).
- (ii) If A and P/A are weakly completely prime (completely prime), then P is weakly completely prime (completely prime).

Proof. (i) Let $0 \neq (a+A)(b+A) = ab+A \in P/A$, where $a, b \in N$, so $ab \in P$. If $ab = 0 \in A$, then

$$(a+A)(b+A) = 0,$$

a contradiction. So if P is weakly completely prime, then either $a \in P$ or $b \in P$, hence either $a+A \in P/A$ or $b+A \in P/A$, as required.

(ii) Let $0 \neq ab \in P$, where $a, b \in N$, so $(a+A)(b+A) \in P/A$. For $ab \in A$, if A is weakly completely prime, then either

$$a \in A \subseteq P \text{ or } b \in A \subseteq P.$$

So we may assume that $ab \notin A$. Then either $a+A \in P/A$ or $b+A \in P/A$. It follows that either $a \in P$ or $b \in P$ as needed. \square

Theorem 3.17 Let P and Q be weakly completely prime ideals of a near-ring N that are not completely prime. Then $P+Q$ is a weakly completely prime ideal of N .

Proof. Since $(P+Q)/Q \approx Q/(P \cap Q)$, we get that $(P+Q)/Q$ is weakly completely prime by Proposition 3.16 (i). Now the assertion follows from Proposition 3.16 (ii). \square

Acknowledgements

The authors are very grateful to the anonymous referees for their stimulating comments and improving the presentation of this paper.

References

- [1] Pilz, G. *Near-Rings: The Theory and Its Applications*, Mathematic Studies, **23**, North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1977.
- [2] Holcombe, W.M.L., *Primitive Near-Rings*, Doctoral Dissertation, University of Leeds, 1970.

- [3] Groenewald, N.J., *The Completely Prime Radical in Near-Rings*, Acta Math. Hung., **33**, pp. 301-305, 1988.
- [4] Groenewald, N.J., *Different Prime Ideals in Near-Rings*, Comm. Algebra, **19**(10), pp. 2667-2675, 1991.
- [5] Anderson, D.D. & Smith, E., *Weakly Prime Ideals*, Houston J. Math., **29**(4), pp. 831-840, 2003.
- [6] Abbass, H.H. & Mohammed, M.A., *On Completely Prime Ideal with Respect to an Element of a Near Ring*. Journal of Kerbala University, **10**(3), pp. 285-301, 2012.
- [7] Meldrum, J.D.P., *Near-Rings and their Links with Groups*, Research notes in Math. Pitman, London, **134**, 1985.